



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.   1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,355	0/084,355 02/28/2002		Michiaki Sakamoto	8018-1003	5587
466	7590	03/29/2004		EXAMINER	
YOUNG (	& THOM	PSON	WANG, GEORGE Y		
745 SOUT	H 23RD S'	TREET 2ND FLOOR			
ARLINGT	ON, VA	22202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871	
				DATE MAILED: 03/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/084,355	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
Authory Housin	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George Y. Wang	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amounth the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in		rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10.  Other:			
	Supe Te	ROPERT H. KIM RVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER	aminer 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's colored figures are appreciated but Applicant's arguments nevertheless remain unpersuasive. First, Applicant argues that the Tagusa reference does not teach a control electrode that is "disposed under said gap between adjacent pixel electrodes." Broadly interpreted, the control electrode is positioned "under" the gap, albeit not directly under. Second, Applicant argues that the control electrode does not "cover said gate line." One of the definitions for the "cover" in Webster's Dictionary is "to lay something over." Broadly interpreted, the control electrode is situated over the gate line, satisfying the language of "cover" in the claim. Lastly, in an attempt to overcome the rejection, Applicant's previously amended claim language "as seen in plan view" does little to emphasize the position of these elements. After all, a dotted-line drawing of the control electrode would suffice to show that it was "under" the gap even if it is "as seen in plan view".